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Sustainable Responsible Investment (SRI) in Australia has been steadily gaining pace since the early 1990s. In 1999, the Ethical Investment Association (EIA) was formed to promote the concept and practice of SRI in Australia. The EIA is Australia’s peak membership body for professionals and professional organisations working in the area of SRI and exists to represent the best interests of its members to the investment industry, government, the media, regulators and the investing public. In the past year the EIA has extended its charter to New Zealand and a section on the SRI industry in that country is covered in this report by Dr Rodger Spiller.

Activities of the EIA include the production of a major international biennial conference, policy work, training, media and consumer awareness campaigns, website development, the annual benchmarking study on the size and growth of SRI in Australia and SRI industry events.

Over the last twelve months the EIA has focussed on three significant projects designed to grow the sector by helping investors to locate the SRI products and services which best suit their needs. Those projects are outlined below.

If you are interested in joining the EIA or in finding out more about our work please contact our office in Sydney, Australia on 61 2 8224 0314, email us at info@eia.org.au or visit the EIA website, www.eia.org.au.

SRI SYMBOL – WORLD-FIRST SRI CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

The world’s first SRI industry certification, the SRI Symbol program, was launched by the EIA in September 2005. Developed over 2 years in consultation with representatives from finance, business, not-for-profit organisations and charities, the SRI Symbol has been designed to:

“help consumers make informed choices regarding investment opportunities that take environmental, social and ethical considerations into account as well as financial returns”.

There are currently four different SRI Symbol license categories: Fund Manager, Superannuation Fund, Dealer Group and Financial Adviser. Display of the SRI Symbol will certify that a licensee has adopted educational and disclosure practices relevant to their license category. Applicants to license the SRI Symbol will be assessed pursuant to strict eligibility criteria by the EIA, with independent verification for the category of Fund Manager performed by global chartered accounting firm Grant Thornton.

Essentially a navigational tool and aid to disclosure, the Symbol will help investors find the SRI investment that best suits their needs and values.

The SRI Symbol program was created in partnership with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), the Victorian Government, Baker & McKenzie, Ys Communications, Gavin Anderson and AMP Capital Investors. Information relating to each Symbol licensee will be publicly available on the EIA website (www.eia.org.au), which will retain responsibility for the ongoing management of the SRI Symbol program.

SRI SUPERANNUATION CENTRE

Following the introduction of Choice of Superannuation on 1 July 2005, many Australians are now making enquiries about ways in which they can invest all or part of their super in SRI or Ethical Investment options.

In a recent attitudinal survey conducted by Corporate Monitor of employee opinions about the prospect of using SRI for their superannuation savings, 27 per cent said they would favourably consider an SRI super option and 22 per cent indicated they would use an SRI fund. This should come as good news to the more than 100 superannuation funds that offer such an option.

The EIA launched the SRI Superannuation Centre to provide people with a list of SRI options found in managed funds, industry funds and master funds. This Centre provides information such as key contact details, the fund’s overarching SRI methodology and their asset classes.

The SRI Superannuation Centre also lists financial advisers who provide SRI advice.

The SRI Superannuation Centre can be found at www.eia.org.au

SRI COURSE FOR FINANCIAL ADVISERS

Five years ago the EIA commissioned the world’s first course for financial advisers on Sustainable Responsible Investment written by EIA co-founding President, Janice Carpenter.

The course has been delivered live at three EIA conferences however in the past six months the Association has been working with prospective partners in order to establish a distribution framework which will make it widely and easily available to advisers and adviser networks across the country. We anticipate realisation of these plans by early to mid 2006. For more information about the EIA Financial Advisers’ course please contact the EIA.
JOIN THE EIA

…and become part of a co-ordinated, united group working to promote the growth of SRI in Australia and New Zealand. Benefits of membership include:

- The opportunity to apply for the SRI Symbol (categories are Fund Manager, Super Fund, Financial Adviser and Dealer Group), available only to members of the EIA;
- The opportunity to write about your product, service or organisation on the EIA website which is Australia’s central portal for information about SRI products and services;
- The opportunity to promote your products and services at EIA conferences;
- Representation in policy development;
- An opportunity to network at the core of the SRI industry;
- Discounts to all EIA events;
- The opportunity to work collaboratively with other EIA members on industry projects;
- The opportunity to participate on the EIA Committee and to vote on EIA resolutions.

ETHICAL INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION
Level 2, 60 Castlereagh St, Sydney NSW 2000 Australia
Website: www.eia.org.au Email: info@eia.org.au
Phone: 61 2 8224 0314 Fax: 61 2 8224 0333
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Executive Summary

This is the 5th Annual SRI Benchmarking Study commissioned by the Ethical Investment Association. The main aim of this year’s study is to update figures on various forms of SRI and to develop more data on trends, attitudes and recent developments in the superannuation sector.

Overall the results show that SRI is growing strongly in Australia and in areas where this is not yet apparent – such as community finance, SRI superannuation and mainstream acceptance of SRI research techniques – it is poised to grow strongly in the coming year.

SRI Managed Portfolios grew by 70 per cent during the 2005 financial year from $4.5 billion (revised upwards from $3.31 billion in last year’s study) to $7.67 billion, an increase of $3.17 billion. New investor inflows into existing SRI funds form a smaller, albeit significant, part of this growth. The main factors contributing to this impressive level of growth were: large superannuation funds adopting SRI policies for existing portfolios ($1,720m); strong investment performance ($785m); capital raised by new SRI funds ($153m) and net flows to established SRI funds ($512m).

The major reason for the re-statement of last year’s SRI managed funds figure is the inclusion of a number of funds that had not been recognised in that report as SRI funds, some of which were included in other categories of SRI investment. In the 5 years from 2000 through 2005 SRI managed portfolios have now grown from $325m to $7.67 billion, an increase of 2360% (almost 24 times).

Analysis of the average return of SRI managed funds in the Australian Shares, Overseas Shares and Balanced Growth categories indicates that they compare favourably with that of the average mainstream fund, particularly over all periods of 3 years or more.

By using more expansive methodology in this year’s study we were able to identify over 100 financial advisers with a specific interest in SRI investing, up from 54 in the 2004 study. Of that group, 30 advisers indicated that they advise on direct investment portfolios, which total $482m, up 65 per cent from $292m in 2004.

Within community finance, 6 providers had total assets of $308m in June 2005, an increase of 28 per cent. This segment shows the promise of substantial growth in the coming year as a few leading credit unions are in the process of adopting more formalised community financing and ethical investing policies.

Figures for religious organisations’ investment in SRI were not updated this year.

The survey identified 21 charities and charitable trusts with screened direct SRI portfolios of $258m. Based on the figures and reports provided by financial advisers and the growth of SRI funds aimed at the charities market, there is definitely a trend for the not-for-profit sector to adopt SRI investing.

Data on public offer superannuation funds indicates that 119 funds offer a total of 317 SRI options. A listing of 14 funds that offer 5 or more SRI options is provided.

The study also conducted an attitudinal survey of employee opinions about the prospect of using SRI for their superannuation savings, attracting 927 responses. This indicated that members were favourably disposed to the concept of an ethical/SRI superannuation fund with 27 per cent saying they would consider an SRI super option favourably and 22 per cent saying they would use an SRI fund.

Around 9 per cent stated that they were not interested in SRI superannuation and another 42 per cent were non-committal, indicating they would consider this option on its merits.

Respondents who indicated they were considering a change to their superannuation fund in the next two years, or who had already taken up a new option, were somewhat more favourably disposed to the idea of SRI super (25 per cent).

SRI overlays totalled $7.94 billion, up 73 per cent on an adjusted 2004 balance of $4.6 billion, as more institutional investors engage specialists to undertake constructive engagement on their behalf.

In the survey period there was only one specific shareholder resolution that related to an issue of social responsibility, against the Commonwealth Bank of Australia, which attracted votes to the value of $2.5 billion. Shareholder action in relation to James Hardie’s funding of asbestos liabilities is also noted, though no specific resolution was mounted.

The research for the survey was conducted by Corporate Monitor for the Ethical Investment Association.

Michael Walsh
Executive Director
Corporate Monitor
Tel: (02) 6682 4817
Email: walsh@corporatemonitor.com.au
What is Sustainable Responsible Investment?

Three different types of activities are embraced under the umbrella of Sustainable Responsible Investment or SRI:

**SRI MANAGED PORTFOLIOS**
Placement of money in managed funds, share portfolios, or other investment securities portfolios screened to reflect environmental, social, labour relations or other ethical considerations.

Typical SRI approaches used in the selection of potential investments include:

- negative screening to avoid some types of investments, eg gambling, tobacco, uranium, weapons etc;
- positive screens to exercise a preference for activities or characteristics, eg companies in future-oriented industries such as biotechnology, renewable energy, and health care, or companies with good environmental and social performance;
- environmental and social performance or sustainability;
- use of a sustainability or social responsibility overlay – to select funds using traditional financial criteria which add a process for addressing issues related to sustainability or corporate social responsibility.

A fund using an overlay typically identifies environmental, social or other risks to a company in which it is investing, and then meets with the company to encourage it to better address those risks. Some refer to this approach as a ‘constructive engagement’ strategy, to contrast it with negative screening or positive screening approaches.

Sustainable Responsible Investment fund managers are, of course, also concerned about financial risk and providing good financial returns; so the portfolios of most SRI managed funds in Australia include shares in many of the same companies that are held by non-SRI funds.

**SHAREHOLDER ACTION**
This study also considers shareholder action, which is activism by a group of concerned shareholders to address issues regarding a company’s environmental or social behaviour. It may be carried out directly by individual shareholders or through investment in a managed fund that holds shares in a company and uses those shares to raise issues with company management. Shareholder action can take the form of introducing and gaining support for resolutions at an Annual General Meeting of a company. It is designed to be a public protest against a company’s behaviours, whereas constructive engagement is handled privately.

In line with the methods used overseas for benchmarking Sustainable Responsible Investment, shareholder action in this report refers only to activities related to social or environmental issues. It does not include shareholder action confined to issues of corporate governance: such as board composition, remuneration and other resolutions sponsored from within by the directors of the company.

**COMMUNITY-BASED INVESTING**
A third type of activity also commonly included in Sustainable Responsible Investment is community-based investing. This involves pooling deposits in order to make loans to disadvantaged individuals, to not-for-profit organisations or for loans to fund actions that help the environment.

**WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SRI AND ETHICAL INVESTMENT?**
Sustainable Responsible Investment embraces the business case for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). It refers to the investment style which analyses the environmental, social, labour rights, governance and ethical issues which are material to a company’s profitability and sustainability.

Ethical Investment is an investment process which reflects an individual’s values and beliefs regarding the environmental, social, labour rights, governance and ethical performance of corporations.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**
The overall aim of this project is to provide credible data on the size and growth of the Australian SRI market and to compare this with trends in Australia’s financial market and SRI internationally.

The project is intended to establish the size and, where possible, growth of the following SRI categories:
- Screened funds and portfolios
- Shareholder advocacy/action and corporate engagement
- Community-based investment

Results obtained from the current project are compared with those obtained in the benchmarking study in 2004.
Methodology

This study employed a methodology similar to that used in previous EIA Benchmarking Surveys with any differences noted in the context of the report.

Data was gathered from a range of sources. Managed funds data was kindly provided by Standard and Poors and Rainmaker Information Services, though some data was also provided directly by fund managers to Corporate Monitor.

Data on the range of superannuation funds offering SRI options was also provided by Rainmaker Information Services.

Other data was collected by Corporate Monitor. Initial requests for data were made by email and then followed up where necessary by telephone.

It is important to note that for many areas of SRI data there is no requirement for disclosure and some providers are reluctant to supply information. This may be for reasons of privacy or commercial confidentiality. Where we are aware of a major SRI provider that did not supply data this is noted in the study. But overall the results should be considered conservative for this reason.

All requests for information occurred in the period July through October 2005, but all figures are as at 30 June 2005.

MANAGED SRI PORTFOLIOS

Data was collected on the net assets of managed funds that define themselves as ethical, sustainable or socially responsible. It includes investments directly into the managed funds (retail and wholesale), superannuation funds, insurance bonds, directly managed accounts, institutional mandates and other portfolio based investments. Where a fund manager operates multiple funds that invest into one investment pool then any double counting of investments was removed.

DIRECT INVESTMENT PORTFOLIOS MANAGED BY SRI FINANCIAL ADVISERS

Some financial advisers specialise in providing services to investors who want to use an ethical/SRI approach in their investments. From over 100 advisers thought to have an interest in SRI we obtained data from 30 on the amount under their advice that is invested in ethically screened direct investment portfolios (including shares and other portfolio investments), apart from managed funds.

RELIGIOUS ORGANISATIONS

The data in last year’s survey on SRI investment by religious groups was not updated as it was considered to be outside the scope of this study.

CHARITIES AND CHARITABLE TRUSTS

Using sources such as the Givewell Research Centre, we identified about 60 charities who had share portfolios of $1m or more based on their latest financial statements. We then surveyed this group to ask them if these investments were held under an ethical investment policy. A total of 21 responded that an SRI policy applied.

EMPLOYER SUPERANNUATION FUNDS

Many superannuation funds are now providing their members with a choice of funds, including the option of an SRI super fund. These options generally then invest in one of the managed SRI portfolios and are counted in the total for that category.

A few employer superannuation funds have also adopted SRI investing as part of their mainstream investment options. Where this was the case, and where that investment was no longer invested into an established SRI fund, then the amount was included in managed SRI portfolios under the name of the superannuation fund.

Data on constructive engagement services was obtained from the providers of this type of service. Individual instances of engagement were not surveyed.

COMMUNITY FINANCE

For this study we surveyed organisations known to be dedicated ostensibly to community finance activities. We included figures on their total investments and loans.

SHAREHOLDER ACTION

Using the regular coverage of incidents of shareholder activism provided by Ethical Investor magazine we gathered information on several actions. But only one of these fitted within the definition of an SRI related shareholder resolution.
SRI Managed Portfolios

Managed SRI portfolios grew by 70 per cent during the 2005 financial year from $4.5 billion (revised upwards from $3.31 billion in last year’s study) to $7.67 billion, an increase of $3.17 billion.

However, it is important to understand that new investor inflows into existing SRI funds form a smaller, albeit significant, part of this growth.

WHERE HAS SRI MANAGED FUNDS GROWTH COME FROM?

The main factors contributing to this impressive level of growth were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Super funds adopt SRI policies</td>
<td>1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment performance</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New funds</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds flows to existing funds</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within these overall trends there are a number of other factors to note which helped to contribute to the overall results:

SUPERANNUATION FUNDS ADOPTING SRI INVESTMENT POLICIES

A handful of industry based superannuation funds are adopting SRI investment policies that extend beyond offering their members an SRI option in their choice of superannuation.

- VicSuper extended its sustainability based investment policy to include its direct property investments.
- Local Government Superannuation Services introduced a policy across its Australian shares portfolio of removing exposure to companies (by ‘short selling’ positions) excluded under its negative screened SRI policy. To enable comparability, the full value of this share portfolio was included in the total SRI managed portfolios (using the same assumptions that apply with other negative screened SRI funds). The value of the short positions is $15m.
- From July 2005 Christian Super has embarked on the task of transitioning all of its investments to fall under its ethical investment policy in the next 12 months.
- Other superannuation funds like UniSuper, National Catholic Superannuation Fund and HESTA continued their investment into established SRI funds throughout the year, with UniSuper and HESTA employing specialists in corporate governance and sustainability to further develop their work in this area.
RETAIL VERSUS WHOLESALE GROWTH LEVELS

Both the retail and wholesale segments of the SRI sector continue to grow strongly. The smaller retail funds segment attracted $370m net funds inflow and, together with the impact of positive investment performance, grew to $2.75 billion. Wholesale portfolios’ net funds flow was $2.08 billion, which – together with the performance effect – saw it grow to $4.92 billion. As already noted above, $1.72 billion of this is attributed to the establishment of a newly screened superannuation fund portfolio. Adjusting for this, net new investor support for retail versus wholesale SRI funds was about equal over the year ($370m versus $360m).

SRI PORTFOLIOS WHOLESALE/RETAIL SPLIT $BILLION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manager</th>
<th>$m (2005)</th>
<th>$m (2004)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Super Services</td>
<td>2082</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Hall Investment Management</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>1076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCA Funds Management</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe Asset Management</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP Capital Investors</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investa Property Group</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Ethical Investments</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warakirri Asset Management</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Asset Management Australia</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The new entrant to SRI investing this year, Local Government Super Services, had the highest rate of growth. For the other 8 major SRI fund managers the average rate of growth during the year was still an impressive 32 per cent.

**LARGEST SRI MANAGERS FUNDS UNDER MANAGEMENT > $300M IN 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Manager</th>
<th>2005 (in $m)</th>
<th>2004 (in $m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Govt Super Services</td>
<td>2257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Hall Investment Management</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCA Funds Management</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Asset Management</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP Capital Investors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investa Property Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Ethical Investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warakiri Asset Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Asset Management Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SRI MANDATES**

Included in the total SRI portfolios, there are several screened investment mandates managed by mainstream fund managers who do not offer a pooled SRI fund. These include Kaplan Funds Management, Deutsche Asset Management, Maple-Brown Abbott, State Street Global Advisers, Alpha Investment Managers and Putnam Investments Australia, who together managed $188m in SRI mandates in June 2005 ($168m in 2004).

**OTHER FORMS OF SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT**

This year the survey gathered data on two emerging areas of sustainability investing related to renewable energy and sustainable forestry. However, as they do not strictly meet the definition of an SRI portfolio, their figures were not included in the total.

One notable area of activity on the Australian Stock Exchange during the year was the emergence of diversified infrastructure funds dedicated to investment in renewable energy. One established fund is the CVC Renewable Energy Equity fund. Another, Pacific Hydro, was taken over during the year by industry superannuation fund manager Industry Fund Services for $788m. Two new funds to emerge during the year were the Babcock and Brown Environment Investments Fund and the Viridis Clean Energy Group.

The 3 remaining funds (apart from Pacific Hydro) managed net assets valued at $150m at year end and this segment is poised to grow strongly in the coming year. These funds have not been included in SRI portfolios because of their more limited diversification and ASX listed status.

It is also worth noting that 2 ASX listed agribusiness managers – Timbercorp and ITC – raised $133m to finance investment into Forest Stewardship Council certified timber plantations. This contrasts with $83m raised in 2004.
NEW FUNDS – PRODUCT DIVERSIFICATION

In prior years, new SRI funds were predominantly offered by fund managers in order to provide an SRI option alongside their mainstream fund counterparts. In the case of retail funds these were largely targeted at the clients of financial advisers and direct investors. Wholesale funds were largely aimed at investment platforms, superannuation funds and institutional investors.

New SRI funds developed in 2004-05 have tended to be targeted more specifically to certain investor groups. This is a clear sign of a more mature market and perhaps a reluctance on the part of fund managers to enter the more crowded traditional retail/wholesale SRI funds sphere. For example, an SRI screened collateralised debt obligation (CDO) instrument offered by Grange Securities aimed primarily at local government investors raised $50m.

Other funds launched by Goldman Sachs JBWere and UBS Asset Management attracted investment from charities and grew to a combined total of $68m at year end. Also, a new Listed Investment Company with an SRI policy, the Fat Fund, raised $30m.

STRONG INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE

SRI investing has traditionally been dominated by screened share portfolios - Australian and, to a lesser extent, overseas. During the 2005 financial year the Australian sharemarket benchmark, the S&P/ASX 300 Accumulation Index, increased by 26 per cent. This strong performance from Australian shares helps to explain why investment performance contributed an increase of 17 per cent in the assets held in SRI portfolios. Few SRI investors would be disappointed by the recent performance of their fund in this context.

A comparison of the performance of various categories of SRI public managed funds against performance benchmarks and their mainstream counterparts is presented later in this report.

SRI PROPERTY MAKING ITS MARK

Apart from the 2 superannuation fund property portfolios mentioned above, other sustainability focused property funds offered by Glebe Asset Management, James Fielding Asset Management and Investa Property Group attracted good support, mainly from institutional investors. Together these funds raised approximately $250m in new funds during the year.

RE-STATEMENT OF 2004 STATISTICS

Last year’s study reported a figure for managed SRI funds of $3.31 billion. The June 2004 figure has been re-established in this year’s study to $4.50 billion, an increase of $1.19 billion. The major reason for this re-statement is the inclusion of a number of funds that had not been recognised as SRI funds in last year’s study, some of which were included in other categories of SRI investment.

These include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m at June 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCA Funds Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investa Property Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Super Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VicSuper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Fielding Asset Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other minor differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the 5 years from 2000 through 2005, SRI managed portfolios have now grown from $325m to $7.67 billion, an increase of 2360% (almost 24 times).

With the 2004 SRI managed portfolios figure re-stated, the long-term growth of SRI funds is now as follows:

**GROWTH IN SRI MANAGED PORTFOLIOS AS AT JUNE 30**

![Graph showing growth in SRI managed portfolios from 2000 to 2005.](image)

**SRI PORTFOLIOS – MARKET SHARE**

According to Standard & Poors’ latest ASSIRT Market Share Report, Total Investment Management in Australia comprised $672 billion as at March 2005. Therefore, SRI portfolios in June 2005 of $7.6 billion are 1.14 per cent of this total.

Retail SRI funds are 0.7 per cent of total retail funds under management and wholesale SRI portfolios comprise 1.2 per cent of the ASSIRT total for wholesale funds and discrete managed portfolios.

**OVERSEAS COMPARISONS**

A detailed summary of overseas studies of SRI investment was included in last year’s study. International comparisons between studies are not available because of differences in data gathering methodology, the definitions of SRI and the level of investments’ disclosure.

One area that does bear broad comparison is the market share of SRI managed funds with the total managed investments in each country, according to its latest SRI study. As stated above, SRI funds’ market share in Australia is about 1 per cent.

A 2004 study by the Social Investment Organisation in Canada calculated that SRI mutual funds of C$14.8 billion represented 3.5 per cent of the total market of C$417 billion.

An earlier 2003 study by the United States based Social Investment Forum calculated SRI mutual funds of US$151 billion to be 2 per cent of the total market of US$7,500 billion.

While SRI has been actively promoted in several European countries, the latest SRI survey in 2003 did not calculate its market share.
SRI Funds Performance

In another enhancement for this year’s study we present the latest analysis of the average investment return to an SRI investor compared with mainstream managed investment funds and with sharemarket benchmarks.

In a year when most Australian share funds posted strong returns that were still lower than the benchmark S&P/ASX 300 Index, SRI funds did not fare as well. But the average SRI fund did perform above the average mainstream fund for all periods over 3, 5 and 7 years. It also beat the sharemarket benchmark over 5 and 7 years despite showing underperformance over 1 and 3 years.

A more limited sample of overseas share SRI funds showed better results. The average ethical fund investor achieved a return that was well above benchmark and the average mainstream fund over all time periods measured.

The positive results for Australian share funds then leads to similarly good results for investors in SRI balanced growth funds.

The analysis comes from the September 2005 Ethical Managed Funds table published by Ethical Investor magazine. This also includes Standard & Poors’ category index returns that show the (asset size) weighted average return of all funds in each investment category. The rate of return for each index is influenced mainly by large mainstream funds.

**SRI VS MAINSTREAM MANAGED FUNDS RETURNS TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2005 (NET OF MANAGEMENT FEES) % PA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>3 years</th>
<th>5 years</th>
<th>7 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australian Share Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SRI Fund</td>
<td>26.37</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>16.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Wholesale</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Retail</td>
<td>31.07</td>
<td>19.41</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>12.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P/ASX 300 Accum. Index</td>
<td>31.73</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>12.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overseas Share Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SRI Fund</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>17.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Wholesale</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Retail</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>-8.03</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balanced Growth Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SRI Fund</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Wholesale</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mainstream Fund – Retail</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Finance

Six community finance providers had total assets of $308m in June 2005, an increase of 28 per cent on a re-stated 2004 balance of $241m. These are:

- Community Sector Banking
- Ethical Investment Trust
- Bendigo Ethical Cash Management Account
- Macauley Credit Co-op
- Maleny Credit Union

The figure of $322m for community finance reported in last year’s study was re-stated because of a discrepancy in figures reported for one major institution. Most of the growth in this sector is attributed to Bendigo Bank’s Community Sector Banking initiative.

This segment shows the promise of substantial growth in the coming year as a few leading credit unions like mecu, Bananacoast Community Credit Union and South Australia’s Saving and Loans are in the process of adopting more formalised community financing and ethical investing policies.

GREEN LOANS

A recent feature of the finance sector is the development of green loans – those which finance environmental purposes and which also offer the borrower some form of tangible benefit if they take out this type of loan.

We identified six lenders providing this type of facility:

- Bendigo Bank
- mecu
- Maleny Credit Union
- The Green Home Loan
- Community CPS Credit Union
- StateWest Credit Society

While we were unable to obtain data from the leading green loans provider Bendigo Bank (for reasons of commercial confidentiality) we were able to calculate loans in 2005 of $26.1m from 5 lenders, up 53 per cent from $17.1m in 2004.

SRI Financial Adviser Portfolios

By using more expansive methodology in this year’s study we were able to identify over 100 financial advisers with a specific interest in SRI investing, up from 54 in the 2004 study. Of that group, 30 advisers indicated that they advise on direct investment portfolios, which total $482m, up 65 per cent from $292m in 2004.

This substantial increase can be attributed to a combination of the following factors:

- Significant growth from advisers offering specialised services to charitable and religious organisations.
- Strong growth in established SRI specialist advisory firms.
- High capital appreciation from direct share portfolios.
- Some movement away from SRI managed funds in order to provide investment portfolios that are more customised to investors’ specific values.

Overall, while this figure is still only a fraction of total SRI investments, it is becoming a more significant means by which individual investors and charities implement SRI investing.

Charities and Charitable Trusts

Again, using more expansive methodology, we were able to identify 21 charities and charitable trusts with screened direct SRI portfolios of $268m in 2005. This figure is not comparable with the $327m stated in last year’s study, which reported total SRI assets of 10 organisations. It is also likely that many of these charities use the services of a financial adviser who has also included the value of the charity’s SRI portfolio in their assets under advice.

Based on the figures and reports provided by financial advisers and the growth of SRI funds aimed at the charities market, there is definitely a trend for the not-for-profit sector to adopt SRI investing. However, the limited disclosure of investments provided by the sector precludes us from drawing any conclusions on the extent to which this trend is occurring.
Superannuation Funds and SRI Options

From 1 July 2005 many Australian employees were able to choose their own superannuation fund and to ask their employer to have their superannuation contributions paid into that fund.

In anticipation of the new legislation, superannuation funds of all types have worked hard to improve their appeal to both new and prospective members. Part of that appeal is to offer a greater range of investment choices so that members with certain investment preferences (such as SRI investing) would be more inclined to support the fund.

Given that both members and trustees are favourably disposed to SRI, it would be expected that more superannuation funds would offer SRI options. Values-focused investors also prefer to adopt SRI for all their investments; so it would be expected that more superannuation funds would offer several SRI options to enable members to adopt SRI in full and spread their risk across investment sectors.

These expectations have been borne out in recent times by an increase in the number of super funds with an SRI option, offering more options. Last year’s study listed the 30 largest superannuation funds that offer some element of SRI super. For 2005 we obtained data from Rainmaker Information Services that shows how many public offer superannuation funds offer an SRI option and also ranks the funds that offer the most options. This data listed 119 funds offering a total of 317 SRI options. A listing of the funds that offer 5 or more SRI options follows. These are all commercial master funds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Number of SRI options offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASGARD Employee Superannuation</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Choice</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealthtrac Superannuation &amp; Rollovers</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough Superannuation Master Plan</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartsave Superannuation Master Plan</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supa Select Superannuation Plan</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Company Superannuation Master Plan</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMG Universal Super</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT Superwrap</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Master Trust</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Super Manager</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netwealth Superannuation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterson Ord Minnett IA Super Manager</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eWrap Superannuation Service</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SelectingSuper

While a number of large industry-based superannuation funds offer some element of SRI choice, they typically offer just one or two options. These include Australian Retirement Fund, HESTA, Sunsuper, UniSuper, JUST Super, VicSuper and Superannuation Trust of Australia.

More information about superannuation funds that offer SRI investment options is available online from the EIA’s SRI Superannuation Centre at [www.eia.org.au](http://www.eia.org.au)
Attitudes to Superannuation Choice Survey

The survey was conducted during August and September 2005. A number of email lists were used, comprising mainly of individuals that already own managed investments, other members of the finance industry and professional services sectors. This is evidenced by the fact that 39 per cent indicated they used the services of a financial adviser for superannuation advice.

In all, 927 valid responses were received – 41 per cent female and 59 per cent male. The great majority (82 per cent) of respondents have been contributing to superannuation for less than 20 years with 30 per cent for less than 10 years. The average number of years that respondents have been contributing to superannuation was 14. This indicates the sample is representative of the working population and is not skewed towards older workers and retirees.

LENGTH OF CONTRIBUTION

The survey clearly attracted responses from individuals who were prepared to consider their options. Most were open to the idea of superannuation choice. A majority (55 per cent) indicated they would consider another superannuation fund in the next 2 years and a further 14 per cent said they had already exercised their new choice of fund. This leaves 31 per cent who said they were not considering a change.

ATTITUDES TO SRI SUPERANNUATION CHOICE

As a group, they were also disposed to the concept of an ethical/SRI superannuation fund with 27 per cent saying they would consider the option favourably and 22 per cent indicating they would use an SRI fund. Around 9 per cent stated that they were not interested in SRI superannuation and another 42 per cent were non-committal, indicating they would consider this option on its merits.

These stated intentions should be treated with some caution, understanding that fewer people ultimately act on their inclinations stated in surveys of investment attitudes.

Respondents who indicated they were considering a change to their superannuation fund in the next 2 years, or who had already taken up a new option were somewhat more favourably disposed to the idea of SRI super. The same proportion (27%) said they would consider this option on its merits and 25% indicated they would use an SRI fund (compared with 22% for the whole sample).

These results contrast with a similar survey of 500 adult consumers in August 2000 conducted by KPMG Consulting. It found that 69 per cent respondents said they would consider an SRI fund, given the opportunity to choose.
WHO SHOULD OFFER SRI SUPER?

The survey gave a resounding vote in favour of SRI options being given by a member’s existing superannuation fund. A minimal number (3 per cent) of respondents said they were less likely to consider SRI superannuation if it were offered by their current fund. Another 31 per cent were ambivalent, while over two thirds (66 per cent) said they were more likely to consider it if it was offered by their current fund.

This level of support indicates that fund members are clearly guided by the decisions of their superannuation fund trustees and that they prefer to exercise any choices within the confines of their existing superannuation environment.

It should also follow, based on these views, that few members would be prepared to step outside their current superannuation environment in order to invest in SRI superannuation. This proposition is supported by the relatively low levels of funds flows towards retail superannuation funds in 2005 ($80m).

RESULTS SEGMENTATION

Within the survey there are a number of interesting observations:

- Respondents who have been contributing to superannuation for less than 10 years indicated that they were more likely to consider choosing another superannuation fund in the next two years. This group was also more favourably disposed towards considering an SRI superannuation option.

- These 2 findings suggest that younger employees have less loyalty to their existing superannuation fund and are more inclined to look at options, including SRI superannuation.

- Support for SRI superannuation was also ranked based on the existing fund in which member benefits were held. While the level of support did not vary greatly, the SRI superannuation support levels ranking is: Government superannuation; Industry-based fund; Private fund manager or master fund; Employer superannuation; Self-managed fund.

- There was also a notable variation in support for SRI superannuation, depending on whether the employee used a financial adviser for superannuation advice. Employees who did not use financial advisers indicated a higher level of interest in SRI superannuation. They also tended to be younger (less years contributing to superannuation) and a little more inclined towards having an SRI option provided by their current fund.

These findings suggest that younger employees in government or industry-based funds are the group most inclined towards SRI superannuation, provided it is offered by their existing fund.

Conversely, older employees who rely on a financial adviser to guide their investment choices indicate the lowest level of interest in the idea.
**Sustainable Responsible Investment in Australia – 2005**

**SRI Overlays**

Last year’s study counted $7.2 billion in assets that were managed under a formalised process of engaging companies to address environmental and social issues and/or lift their overall performance in this area. One of the funds listed, Local Government Super Services, now has these assets counted under the SRI portfolios category.

This year we surveyed 2 overlay providers, the BT Governance Advisory Service and the Responsible Engagement Overlay (distributed in Australia by State Street Global Advisers), who provide engagement services on behalf of a number superannuation funds and institutional investors of $7.94 billion, up 73 per cent on their assets under advice in 2004 of $4.6 billion.

The prospects for the coming year in this area are very bright, with a number of large superannuation funds planning to add engagement on environmental factors to their established process of engaging companies on corporate governance issues.

**Shareholder Action/Advocacy**

In 2005 there was only one specific shareholder resolution that related to an issue of social responsibility. However, there was one significant incident where a company responded to this type of advocacy that should be noted. There were also a few union sponsored resolutions pertinent to workplace relations issues.

**JAMES HARDIE**

In September 2004 James Hardie Industries deferred a resolution to adopt its 2004 annual accounts pending the outcome of a NSW Special Commission of Inquiry into its handling of and funding for asbestos liabilities. However, it was widely reported that some institutional shareholders were planning to reject the resolution if it were put to the vote. This was due to social concerns that the potential shortfall in liabilities had not yet been resolved. Those accounts were subsequently adopted at the 2005 Annual General Meeting in August after a firm commitment concerning those liabilities had been made. In this case the value of the shareholder advocacy, though obviously very substantial, was unable to be determined because the resolution was withdrawn.

**UNIONS AT THE AGM**

Shareholder groups formed by trade unions mounted 3 resolutions during the 2004 AGM season to attract more support for their labour relations and pay claims. The Finance Sector Union mounted a special resolution to have a Commonwealth Bank of Australia operational review made subject to an independent assessment. This resolution attracted approximately 10 per cent of the vote in favour, valued at $1.52 billion.

In the case of Bluescope Steel, shareholders affiliated with the Australian Workers Union proposed restrictions on director remuneration, and future and other director commitments.

In the case of Qantas and Boral, unions lobbied for support from other shareholders to oppose director sponsored resolutions relating to director and chief executive remuneration levels.

**Mainstream Fund Managers Using SRI Practices**

One recent interesting and pervasive development in the field of SRI is its so-called ‘mainstreaming’. This means that mainstream fund managers recognise that envirosocial factors do affect share price performance and so they should be incorporated into fundamental security analysis.

One example of this is the measurement of a company’s vulnerability to and preparedness for climate change. As climate change sets in, the theory goes that companies that are better able to manage climate change risk and take advantage of the business opportunities that climate change presents will be rewarded with better profitability and a stronger share price. This mainstreaming phenomenon is being widely studied and supported by leading investment management groups across the world.

However, at this stage, there is little evidence that this is happening in a formal sense in Australia at present. While a number of managers are looking to improve their assessment of corporate governance, only one Australian shares specialist, Portfolio Partners, incorporates envirosocial and human capital factors into its risk analysis when deciding the weighting of a company it will hold in each portfolio.

However, asset consultant Mercer has indicated that its Australian research team will follow the lead from overseas and incorporate an evaluation of how well environmental, social and governance factors are incorporated into the investment process when it assesses all fund managers.
SRI New Zealand

Sustainable Responsible investing in New Zealand experienced a modest year marked by Tower exiting the SRI market and AMP no longer promoting its international funds through its New Zealand investment service. Prometheus and Asteron did not increase significantly. However Trust Investments charitable trust Sustainable New Zealand Share Fund has a relatively substantial amount invested. Last year the EIA reported a total estimate for SRI in New Zealand of NZ$19.3m. For the year ended 30 June 2005 we estimate this to be NZ$31.6m, bolstered significantly by the Trust Investments fund which was not included in last year’s figure. This total excludes funds invested by New Zealanders in Australian and other international funds. Further information about most of the SRI offerings is provided below along with an update on the New Zealand Superannuation Fund.

Prometheus (www.prometheus.co.nz) began its operations in 1983 providing deposit and borrowing services. It lends to people and projects that make a positive contribution to society by incorporating social and environmental responsibility, sustainability and sound business practices. In the year ended 30 June 2005 it increased deposits slightly from NZ$7.9m to NZ$8m. However, there was a significant increase in activity and the amount of lending grew. It has introduced new accounts including the Earth Saver Term Account with funds dedicated to habitat protection and native forest regeneration projects and the Climate Saver Term Account with funds dedicated to renewable energy.

The Quaker Investment Ethical Trust (www.quaker.org.nz/qiet) was established in 1989. Its primary object is to provide an ethical savings, investment and loan service in such a way as to reflect Quaker concern. To date, most loans have gone to people who, because of their current circumstances, are unable to secure loans through traditional means. Not all were associated with Quakers. The money has been used for housing, small businesses and low ‘income housing schemes. The Trust has over NZ$1,100,000 in deposits as at 30 June 2005.

Asteron (www.asteron.co.nz) launched New Zealand’s first Socially Responsible Investment Trust in 2002 investing primarily in New Zealand listed companies and based on the principle of negative screening and engagement with companies in its predominantly New Zealand based equity portfolio. Asteron is part of the Promina Group, which is listed on the Australian and New Zealand Stock Exchanges. It uses the research services of Rodger Spiller & Associates (www.rodgerspiller.com). Asteron’s SRI Trust grew from NZ$1.4m to NZ$1.5m in the year ended 30 June 2006.

Trust Investments (www.trustinvestments.co.nz) is a specialist manager initiated by the Anglican Church. It caters to charitable trusts offering a range of conventional funds and a Sustainable New Zealand Share Fund weighed in favour of companies that meet certain sustainability criteria. In order to meet the sustainability criteria, it avoids investments in companies whose major business activities are: tobacco products, alcoholic beverages, pornography, gambling and armaments. In the year ended 30 June 2005 the Sustainable New Zealand Share Fund grew from NZ$15.7m to NZ$21m.

Just Dollar$ (www.justdollars.org.nz) was established in 1992 as a charitable trust providing a vehicle for funds donated and lent to be made available to approved ventures. Non-profit as well as commercial ventures are eligible for assistance. Applicants must be unable to secure bank finance and must be on ‘low incomes’. Just Dollar$ has NZ$240,000 in investors’ funds; and, since 1993, has loaned NZ$940,000 to 315 borrowers.

The Nelson Enterprise Loan Trust (www.nelt.org.nz) is a charitable trust established in 1997 and operates a regionally-based, ethical investment loan fund that facilitates employment opportunities by making loans of up to NZ$20,000 to small enterprises that are unable to obtain finance from mainstream sources. To date it has made 86 loans totalling more than NZ$610,000 with only one failing.

New Zealand’s legislation for the New Zealand Superannuation Fund (a retirement fund for New Zealanders retiring in the future, funded from general taxation) and Government Superannuation Fund (a retirement fund for government employees) requires these funds to implement ‘ethical investment, including policies, standards, or procedures for avoiding prejudice to New Zealand’s reputation as a responsible member of the world community’. The legislation also requires maximising return without undue risk.

The New Zealand Superannuation Fund (www.nzsuperfund.co.nz) commenced investing in October 2003 with NZ$2.4 billion. The fund is expected to reach NZ$48 billion in 10 years and NZ$120 billion in 20 years. In line with the Fund’s governing legislation, a policy for ethical investing has been developed. The Fund is now planning to increase its interactions with similar initiatives undertaken by other institutional investors around the world to ensure the Fund’s approach in this area remains contemporary. An additional area of focus is the manner in which the voting rights attached to the ownership of the equities in the Fund’s global portfolio are exercised. The Fund notes that there is increasing recognition that alignment between institutions with long investment horizons, and the management of organisations in which they invest, is mutually positive. The Fund is seeking to increase its involvement in global initiatives aimed at achieving this. The projected size of the Fund means that it is expected to provide leadership in this area in New Zealand, and will be an important contributor to international debate.

Dr Rodger Spiller
www.rodgerspiller.com